

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 'www.uspto.gov'

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/058,963	01/28/2002	Andras Guttman	1360.038US1	4487
23483	7590 · 05/13/2003		•	
HALE AND DORR, LLP			EXAMINER	
60 STATE ST BOSTON, MA	- -		STARSIAK	, JOHN S
			ARŢ UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1753	a
			DATE MAILED: 05/13/2003	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Responsive to communication(s) filed on2	,	· ·
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance accordance with the practice under Ex parte Qua		
Disposition of Claims	yle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	-
Claim(s) 1-44		is/are pending in the application
Of the above claim(s)		
☐ Claim(s)	· ·	·
☐ Claim(s)		
· ·		<u>-</u>
□ Claim(s)		
5KClaim(s) 1 − 4 4		requirement
SKClaim(s) 1 − 4 4 Application Papers		requirement
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved	requirement
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/a	is □ approved	requirement
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ approved	requirement
Application Papers ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/a	is □ approved re objected to by the Examiner	requirement
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/a The drawing(s) filed on is/a The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	is □ approved re objected to by the Examiner	requirement
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/a The drawing(s) filed on is/a The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exampler rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	is □ approved re objected to by the Examiner miner.	□ disapproved.
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/a The drawing(s) filed on is/a The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign principle.	is □ approved re objected to by the Examiner miner.	□ disapproved.
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/a The drawing(s) filed on is/a The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign properties.	is □ approved re objected to by the Examiner miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	□ disapproved.
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on The drawing(s) filed on is/a The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign processed in the priority documents have	is approved re objected to by the Examiner miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a) a been received.	disapproved. ⊢(d).
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/a The drawing(s) filed on is/a The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign processes. All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have	is approved are objected to by the Examiner miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and the been received).	disapproved. ⊢(d).
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved re objected to by the Examiner miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (as been received. be been received in Application Notes to be a priority became the been received.	disapproved. —(d).
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/a The drawing(s) filed on is/a The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign processes. All Some* None of the: Certified copies of the priority documents have	is approved re objected to by the Examiner miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (as been received. be been received in Application Notes to be a priority became the been received.	disapproved. —(d).
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved are objected to by the Examiner miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and the been received). The been received in Application Not become the bare been received are mational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2).	disapproved.
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/a The drawing(s) filed on is/a The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign in	is approved are objected to by the Examiner miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and the been received). The been received in Application Not become the bare been received are mational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2).	disapproved.
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved re objected to by the Examiner miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and the been received). The been received in Application Not become the been received mational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2).	disapproved.
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved re objected to by the Examiner miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a periority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a p	disapproved. —(d). o (a)) hterview Summary, PTO-413
Application Papers The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved re objected to by the Examiner miner. priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and the been received). The been received in Application Not the cuments have been received mational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2). The priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and the been received). The been received mational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2). The priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and the been received). The priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and the been received). The been received mational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2). The priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (and the been received).	□ disapproved. □ (d). □ (a))

Application/Control Number: 10/058,963 Page 2

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claim 1-20 and 33-44, drawn to an capillary electrophoretic apparatus, classified in class 204, subclass 601.
- II. Claims 21-32, drawn to a method of separation, classified in class 436, subclass 174.

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be practiced by another materially different apparatus. Specifically, claim 1 and claim 33 recite "a power supply having a first electrode and a second electrode adapted to create an electric field between the first end and the second end." Claim 21 recites, "generating a migratory field in the separation pathway;". Hence the process could be practiced with apparatus different from electrophoresis apparatus. The specification teaches using a pressure differential to create a migratory field.

Art Unit: 1753

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification; restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Ann-Louise Kerner on 08 May 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Starsiak Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1797. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Wednesday from 8:00 AM to 3:30 PM and on Thursday and Friday from 8:00 AM to 12:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen, can be reached on (703) 308-3322. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Art Unit: 1753

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

NAM NGUYEN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

John S. Starsiak Jr.

08 May 2003